Appendix 1





DISTRICT COUNCIL NORTH OXFORDSHIRE

Cherwell District Council Risk Based Verification Policy

Background

It is estimated that the overall spend on Housing Benefit in 2020-2021 was £17.290 billion (statistics taken from GOV.UK Publications). Ensuring that the right help is awarded is crucial both to the customers and the taxpayers. Combating fraud and reducing error is a key component of this.

The Verification Framework Policy was introduced by the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) as guidance, in line with the Social Security Administration Act 1992, for administering Housing and Council Tax Benefit claims. This policy recommended that local authorities should obtain substantial evidence before determining claims for benefit. Although voluntary it was adopted by most Councils (including Cherwell District Council) to ensure that the correct amount of benefit was paid, that subsidy was maximised, and that fraud was minimised.

In 2011, the DWP allowed a limited number of Councils to pilot a scheme to try to reduce the cost of the verification process and, at the same time, reduce fraud and error based on risk-based verification principles. It is an approach used by Job Centre Plus and underpins Universal Credit.

The pilots were successful and the DWP confirmed that Councils can now adopt this approach for Housing Benefit and Council Tax Reduction claims. This is summarised in circular S11/2011.

Cherwell District Council currently administers 6,585 claims for Housing Benefit and Council Tax Reduction. This Risk Based Verification Policy has been developed to underpin a regime of preventing fraud and error from entering the system whilst continuing with live caseload intervention. The policy considers that Cherwell District Council must adhere to Housing Benefit legislation and local Council Tax Reduction regulations. The regulations do not specify what information and evidence the Council should obtain from a customer. However, it does require an authority to have information which allows an accurate assessment of a claimant's entitlement, both when a claim is made and renewed because of a change in circumstances. The Council's legal obligation to verify information for Housing Benefit claims is defined in Housing Benefit Regulation 86 which states:

"a person who makes a claim, or a person to whom housing benefit has been awarded, shall furnish such certificates, documents, information and evidence in

connection with the claim or the award, or any question arising out of the claim or the award, as may reasonably be required by the relevant authority in order to determine that person's entitlement to, or continuing entitlement to housing benefit and shall do so within one month of being required to do so or such longer period as the relevant authority may consider reasonable."

Risk Based Verification has been adopted for new Housing Benefit and Council Tax Reduction claims at CDC since 1 November 2017.

What is Risk Based Verification (RBV)

RBV is a method of determining different levels of checks to new claims for Housing Benefit and Council Tax Reduction dependent upon a complex risk profile given to each customer. The profile is determined by specific software using statistical information and experience about what type of claim represents what type of risk. The higher the risk, the greater the checks used to establish that the claim is genuine.

This approach allows the targeting of resources and is very effective in identifying higher levels of fraud and error, reducing the overall cost of verifying claims and improving processing times for some low risk claims. In adopting RBV there is still an obligation to get all the facts and make an accurate assessment but there is not the need to gather documentary evidence in all cases. RBV does not exclude anyone from applying for Housing Benefit or Council Tax Reduction.

Risk Based Verification at Cherwell District Council

Pursuant to DWP circular S11/2011 Cherwell District Council (hereafter referred to as 'the Council') will apply RBV to new claims for HB and CTRS. The Council will use an on-line application form offered by Capita and a solution offered by Xantura to carry out the risk scoring. Customers may also apply on a hard copy form. The software is integrated into the Academy Revenues and Benefits system to produce risk scores in real time.

Each new claim will be allocated a risk score – Low, Medium or High. The evidence Requirements for successful applications will differ based on the risk score assigned. The latest evidence requirements are contained in appendix B of this policy which are reviewed annually on 1 April in line with updates from Department for Works and Pensions. Circular S11/2011 confirms that local authorities have discretion to determine their own risk groups. Circular G1/2016 gives updated advice on the evidence standards required. It should be noted that original documentation of a National Insurance Number and confirmation of identity must be provided in all cases regardless of the risk score, in order to comply with legislation. This verification is only required on the first claim and will not be requested again in support of any future claims.

Low Risk

The claimant's identity will be verified in accordance with sections 1(1a) and 1(1b) of the Social Security Administration Act 1992. The evidence required will be original documents to prove identity and National Insurance Number, photocopies or original evidence of self-employed earnings and student income and status. A LAREV1

(valuation form) is also required for any other properties. A check on Searchlight or Verification of Earnings and Pensions (VEP) can be obtained to provide a breakdown of income declared on the claim form.

Medium Risk

Cases in this group must have the same checks as low risk plus copies (emails will be accepted as copies), scans, or original documentation to prove all declared income and capital. Verification of Earnings and Pensions (VEP) can also be used to obtain evidence of earnings. Please note: all evidence for identity must be original documentation.

High Risk

All high-risk cases must have the same checks as low and medium groups, but the documentation must be original or obtained from VEP and/or Searchlight. In addition, further checks may be carried out which could include a telephone call, home visit or a credit check via the National Anti-Fraud Network (NAFN).

Monitoring RBV

DWP suggest that around 55% of cases will be low risk, 25% medium risk and 20% high risk

Performance using RBV will be monitored monthly to ensure its effectiveness. Reporting and monitoring will include as a minimum, the % of cases in each risk category and the levels of fraud and error detected in each.

Once a risk group has been allocated, individual claims cannot be downgraded by an officer to a lower risk group. They can, however, be upgraded to a higher risk group with the approval from a senior officer or the Benefits and Performance Manager if the officer has good reason to think this is appropriate. All cases which are upgraded will be recorded along with the reason for doing so.

All risk scores are recorded by the RBV software and will show on the customer's account within the Academy system or within the notes if the score is taken from estore

This will enable the Auditors to check the level of verification needed to support the assessment of each claim type for the purposes of subsidy so helping to protect the Council from financial risk. Failure to apply the verification standards as stipulated in the RBV policy may have an impact on the subsidy claimed and could result in a loss of revenue for the council.

Xantura records all risk score requests and an audit log of requests is generated which the Council will use to ensure that the new claims process is being followed and reduced verification applied. There will be a blind sample of cases by Xantura where the risk group will be adjusted, and level of verification applied will be checked. Xantura will provide monthly reports detailing the percentage of cases falling into each risk group, the fraud and error identified in each risk group and the level of fraud and error detected in the sample of blind cases.

Review of the policy

The RBV policy will be reviewed annually and any changes will be referred to the Accounts, Audit and Risk Committee for approval. In accordance with DWP guidance changes will not be made in-year as this would complicate the audit process. If the policy is not reviewed then the previous policy will remain in place until a review is undertaken.

Training and awareness

Training will be provided to all staff within the Benefits and Council Tax Reduction team and to the Customer Services Team on the use of RBV, including refresher training and training for new entrants. This will ensure that the processes and procedures are agreed and understood. Discussions will take place with all internal and external stakeholders.

Business Continuity

The Capita RBV solution is web- based and the ability to obtain a risk group in real time is dependent on an internet connection. In the event of the officers being unable to generate a risk score for any claim, the claim will be treated as medium risk and the appropriate level of verification will be applied.

Audit requirements

External audit has been consulted on the implementation of RBV and on this policy. Auditors will carry out their duties against the terms of the RBV policy and, provided cases have been assessed correctly against the requirements of the policy, this shall meet audit requirements.

Policy approval

This Policy has been produced in line with Department for Work and Pensions guidance on the use of Risk-Based Verification as detailed in HB/CTS circular S11/2011 and G1/2016.

This policy is approved by:

Accounts, Audit and Risk Committee

Date:

(Section 151 Officer)

Name:

Signed: